

Appendix C – Second stage consultation reports

- 1. Preston Park Avenue (Area J extension) report**
- 2. The Martlet (Area O extension) report**
- 3. Preston Park Station area report**
- 4. Stanford area report**

Preston Park Avenue (Area J extension) Report

Results

432 questionnaires were sent to addresses in Preston Park Avenue, Preston Road (part) and Stanford Court (residents here currently use Preston Park Avenue to park). 50 flats at Preston Mansions (which spans Preston Park Avenue and Stanford Avenue) have been sent questionnaires but this is a car free development and therefore these residents would not be eligible for a permit.

169 completed questionnaires were returned giving a response rate of 39%.

Road	No. properties mailed	No. responses	Response rate within road%
Preston Park Avenue	362	158	44
Preston Road	54	6	11
Stanford Court (Stanford Avenue)	16	5	31
Total	432	169	

Q1 asked **Are you in favour of a residents parking scheme in your area?**

118 (70%) said Yes

50 (29%) said No

And 1 person (1%) did not answer this question.

On a road by road basis:

Road (no of properties mailed)	For		Against		No reply	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Preston Park Avenue (362)	113	71.5	45	28.5	0	0
Preston Road (54)	2	40	2	40	1	20
Stanford Avenue (16)	3	50	3	50	0	0
Total	118	70	50	29	1	1

Q2 asked **Which applies to you?**

Which applies to you?	No.	%
Resident	156	91
Business	10	6
Work	2	1
No Reply	3	2

Q3 asked how the parking proposals will affect the performance of your business?

8 people answered this:

- 3 said it would be helpful to my business
- 2 said it will not affect my business
- 2 said it will restrict my business
- 1 said it will be very restrictive for my business

A further part of Q3 asked how the scheme would affect your business. 6 people made comments:

- 1 said it will free up parking for employees
- 1 said it will be easier for my visitors/ patients to find parking
- 1 said it will not affect my business as most people come by train
- 1 said I need staff parking and business permit will not be granted
- 1 said people visiting are put off due to having to park away from premises and costs and time restraints
- 1 said it will make it more difficult for colleagues/ visitors when I have business meetings

Q4 asked If the scheme were implemented which type(s) of permit(s) would you apply for?

Out of 169 respondents, 128 people said they would apply for permits (some more than one type). The general distribution is as below:

Types of permits	No.
Residents	78
Residents Visitor	91
Business	1
Carer	7

Q5 asked how many cars are in your household:

	No.	%
0	32	20
1	90	53
2	41	24
3	2	1
4 or more	3	2

169 households own at least 190 cars which gives an average of 1.1 cars per household.

Q6 Signage

Respondents were asked **If a scheme were to be implemented would you be prepared to allow the council to affix parking signage to your wall/ property?**

127 people answered this question. 88 (70%) of those who responded did not want signage moved to their wall or frontage of their property, whilst 38 (30%) were happy for this to take place.

Comments about proposed changes to the scheme

Respondents were given an opportunity to comment on proposed changes to the scheme in two places on the questionnaire. These comments have been amalgamated. 228 comments were made about the proposed changes. These can be categorised as follows:

Comments	No.	%
This will stop van dwellers parking in the road	96	43
No need for a scheme	28	12
In favour because of parking difficulties	21	9
This will reduce the long term parkers in the area	21	9
Don't want to pay for parking	19	8
Driving has become dangerous due to dangerous parking	15	6.5
This is a revenue raising exercise	12	4
General positive comments	4	2
Unhappy about scheme operation hours	3	1
General negative comments	2	1
Worried about displacement	2	1
Don't want double yellow lines across driveway	2	1
Want single yellow lines	2	1
Want light touch scheme	1	0.5

Demographics

Respondents were distributed as follows:

Gender	No.	%
Male	78	46
Female	84	50
No reply	7	1
Total	169	100

Age	No.	%
18-24	0	0
25-34	23	14
35-44	31	18
45-54	23	14
55-64	24	14
65-74	22	13
75+	38	22.5
No reply	8	4
Total	169	100

Disability	No.	%
Yes	25	15
No	110	65
No reply	34	20
Total	169	100

Ethnicity	No.	%
White British	135	83
White Irish	1	0.5
Other white background	7	4
Indian	2	1
Pakistani	1	0.5
Other asian background	1	0.5
White and asian	1	0.5
Other mixed background	1	0.5
Caribbean	2	7
No reply	18	10.5
Total	169	100

The Martlet (Extension to Area O) Report

Results

138 questionnaires were sent to addresses in 5 roads which comprise the Martlet area of Hove. 59 completed questionnaires were returned giving a response rate of 43%.

Road	No. properties mailed	No. responses	Response rate %
Fulmar Close	15	10	75
Kestrel Close	13	7	54
Merlin Close	12	6	50
The Martlet	49	29	59
The Upper Drive	49	7	14
Total	138	59	43

Q1 asked Are you in favour of a residents parking scheme in your area?

35 (59%) said Yes

23 (39%) said No

And 1 person (2%) did not answer this question.

On a road by road basis:

Road (total number properties mailed)	For		Against		No reply	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Fulmar Close (16)	6	60	3	30	1	10
Kestrel Close (13)	2	29	5	71	0	0
Merlin Close (12)	3	50	3	50	0	0
The Martlet (49)	18	62	11	38	0	0
The Upper Drive (50)	6	86	1	14	0	0
Total	35	59	23	39	1	2

Respondents on The Upper Drive were most in favour at 86% whereas those on Kestrel Close were least in support at 29%.

Q2 asked Which applies to you?

58 people (98%) said they were residents within the proposed parking area boundary. 1 person (2%) did not reply.

Which applies to you?	No.	%
Resident within the proposed parking area boundary	58	98
No Reply	1	2

There are no people who own or manage a business within the proposed parking area boundary. Therefore **Q3** referring to how the parking proposals will affect the performance of your business is irrelevant.

Q4 asked If the scheme were implemented which type(s) of permit(s) would you apply for?

Out of 59 respondents, 43 people said they would apply for permits. The general distribution is as below:

Types of permits	No.	%
Residents	31	38
Residents Visitor	31	38
Carer	3	4
None	11	14
No Reply	5	6
Total	81	100

Q5 asked how many cars are in your household:

	No.	%
0	3	5
1	42	71
2	12	20
3	1	2
4 or more	1	2

59 respondents own at least 125 cars = average of 2.5 cars for each of these households.

Q6 Signage

Respondents were asked If a scheme were to be implemented would you be prepared to allow the council to affix parking signage to your wall/ property? 52 people answered this question. Of these:

83% of those who responded did not want signage moved the wall or frontage of their property, whilst 17% were happy for this to take place. Preferences of respondents by individual road are as follows:

Road	For		Against	
	No.	%	No.	%
Fulmar Close	3	30	7	70
Kestrel Close	2	33	4	67
Merlin Close	0	0	5	100
The Martlet	4	15	22	85
The Upper Drive	0	0	5	100
Total	9	17	43	83

Respondents in The Martlet and The Upper Drive showed strongest feelings against having signage on their wall or property.

Comments about proposed changes to the scheme

Respondents were given an opportunity to comment on proposed changes to the scheme in two places on the questionnaire. These comments have been amalgamated.

52 people made 89 other comments about the proposed changes. These can be categorised as follows:

Comments	No.	%
This will reduce the long-term parkers in the area	19	32
In favour because of parking difficulties	15	25
No need for a scheme	12	20
Don't want double yellow lines across driveways	9	15
Insufficient resident parking in the scheme	9	15
Don't want to pay for parking	7	12
Want single yellow lines as in Hove Park Road	6	10
General negative comments	5	8.5
Worried about displacement	2	3.5
This is a revenue raising exercise	2	3.5
Not enough visitor permits	2	3.5
Driving has become dangerous in the area due to dangerous parking	1	2

There were also 4 one-off comments as follows:

- I don't like the proposed scheme
- I want my visitors to be able to choose where to park and not be prohibited by restrictions
- I want freedom for trades people and visitors to be able to park easily
- I feel we have very little choice as things will only get worse if we say no

Demographics

Respondents were distributed as follows:

Gender	No.	%
Male	142	53
Female	93	35
No reply	31	12
Total	266	100

Appendix C

Age	No.	%
18-24	1	0.5
25-34	11	4
35-44	44	16.5
45-54	56	21
55-64	46	17
65-74	35	13
75+	39	15
No reply	34	13
Total	266	100

Disability	No.	%
Yes	35	13
No	172	65
No reply	59	22
Total	266	100

Ethnicity	No.	%
White British	210	79
White Irish	5	2
Other white background	7	2.5
Indian	2	1
White and Asian	1	0.5
Other mixed background	3	1
Chinese	2	1
No reply	36	13
Total	266	100

Preston Park Station Report

Results

914 questionnaires were sent to addresses in roads which comprise the Preston Park Station area. 357 completed questionnaires were returned giving a response rate of 39%.

Q1 asked **Are you in favour of residents parking scheme in your area?**

(49.6%) said Yes

(49.6%) said No

And 3 people (0.8%) did not answer this question.

On a road by road basis:

Road	For		Against		No reply	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Compton Road (173)	31	39.5	46	58	2	3
Dyke Road (outside scheme) (95)	10	62.5	6	37.5	0	0
Hampstead Road (93)	30	73	11	27	0	0
Inwood Crescent (95)	8	27	22	73	0	0
Kingsley Road (71)	13	35	24	65	0	0
Millers Road (129)	7	18.5	31	81.5	0	0
Reigate Road (97)	43	84.5	8	15.5	0	0
Robertson Road (88)	14	50	14	50	0	0
Scarborough Road (34)	9	60	6	40	0	0
The Drove (22)	4	44.5	5	55.5	0	0
Woodside Avenue (17)	7	63.5	3	27.5	1	9
Total	176	49.6	176	49.6	3	0.8

The outcome is extraordinary close, in normal circumstances we would round the percentage to the nearest half – in this case they are shown – which would give a equal split – one can see from the above that one person gives an overall negative outcome. Roads in overall favour are:

Dyke Road (62.5%), Hampstead Road (73%), Reigate Road (84.5%) and Woodside Avenue (63.5%) whereas residents on Inwood Crescent (73%), Millers Road (81.5%) and Kingsley Road (65%) were least in favour.

Q2 asked **Which applies to you?**

Which applies to you?	No.	%
Resident	329	92.5
Both resident and business	4	1
Business	17	5
No Reply	5	1.5

Q3 asked how the parking proposals will affect the performance of your business?

24 people said that the proposals would affect their business (es):

- 3 said it would be helpful to my business
- 4 said it will not affect my business
- 5 said it will restrict my business
- 12 said it will be very restrictive for my business

A further part of Q3 asked how the scheme would affect your business. And responses were business specific with no one issue common to all.

Q4 asked If the scheme were implemented which type(s) of permit(s) would you apply for?

Out of 357 respondents, 352 people said they would apply for permits (some more than one type); the general distribution is as below:

Types of permits	No.
Residents	267
Residents Visitor	191
Business	8
Carer	7

Q5 asked how many cars are in your household:

	No.	%
0	38	10.5
1	224	63
2	77	22
3	7	2
4 or more	5	1.5

314 respondents own at least 421 cars which gives an average of 1.34 cars per household.

Q6 Signage

Respondents were asked **If a scheme were to be implemented would you be prepared to allow the council to affix parking signage to your wall/property.** 349 people answered this question:

305 (88%) of those who answered this question did not want signage moved to their wall or frontage of their property, whilst 44 (13%) were happy for this to take place.

Road	For		Against	
	No.	%	No.	%
Compton Road	11	14	66	86
Dyke Road (outside scheme)	2	13	13	87
Hampstead Road	2	5	39	95
Inwood Crescent	3	10	26	90
Kingsley Road	5	3.5	32	86.5
Millers Road	1	3	36	97
Reigate Road	5	10	45	90
Robertson Road	5	8.5	22	81.5
Scarborough Road	2	3	13	87
The Drove	3	33	6	67
Woodside Avenue	4	40	6	60
Total	43	12	304	88

Comments about proposed changes to the scheme

Respondents were given an opportunity to comment on proposed changes to the scheme in two places on the questionnaire. These comments have been amalgamated. These can be categorised as follows:

Comments	No.	%
There is no need for a scheme	104	29.5
Not happy about scheme operating hours	23	6.5
This is a revenue raising exercise	49	14
Don't want to pay for parking	92	26
This will reduce the long term parkers in the area	74	21
Insufficient residents parking spaces in scheme	3	.5
Driving has become dangerous in the area due to dangerous parking	17	5
Worried about displacement	24	6.5
In favour because of current parking difficulties	112	31.5
General negative comments	13	3.5
Want a light touch scheme	4	1
Don't want double yellow lines across driveways	2	.5
General positive comments	6	1.5
Don't want 11 hr P&D as this encourages workers to park	2	.5
Not enough visitor permits	2	.5

Demographics

Respondents were distributed as follows:

Gender	No.	%
Male	162	45
Female	174	49
No reply	21	6
Total	357	100

Age	No.	%
18-24	8	2
25-34	58	16
35-44	95	27
45-54	83	23
55-64	44	12.5
65-74	21	6
75+	22	6
No reply	26	7.5
Total	357	100

Disability	No.	%
Yes	43	12
No	247	69
No reply	67	19
Total	357	100

Ethnicity	No.	%
White British	300	84
White Irish	3	1
Other white background	8	2
Indian	2	0.5
Other asian background	1	0.5
White and black Caribbean	3	1
Other mixed background	1	0.5
Caribbean	1	0.5
No reply	38	10
Total	357	100

Stanford (Area B) Report

Results

433 questionnaires were sent to addresses in 13 roads which comprise the Stanford (Area B) area of Hove. 261 completed questionnaires were returned giving a response rate of 60%.

Q1 asked **Are you in favour of a residents parking scheme in your area?**

102 (39%) said Yes

153 (59%) said No

And 6 people (2%) did not answer this question.

On a road by road basis:

Road	For		Against		No reply	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Bennett Drive -part road (13)	1	11	7	78	1	11
Bishops Road (40)	8	40	12	60	0	0
Elrington Road (15)	3	37.5	4	50	1	12.5
Hove Park Way (46)	18	56	13	41	1	3
Onslow Road (19)	7	50	7	50	0	0
Shirley Drive (69)	7	17	35	83	0	0
Stanford Close (9)	5	100	0	0	0	0
The Droveaway (75)	21	47	22	49	2	4
The Paddock (11)	4	57	3	43	0	0
Tredcroft Road (25)	4	23.5	13	76.5	0	0
Woodruff Avenue (50)	10	42	14	58	0	0
Mallory Road (39)	8	36	14	64	0	0
Dyke Road (part but outside scheme) (60)	5	33	9	60	1	7
Total	104	39	156	59	6	2

Respondents on Stanford Close (100%), The Paddock (57%) and Hove Park Way (56%) were most in favour whereas those on Shirley Drive, Bennett Drive and Tredcroft Way were least in favour.

Q2 asked **Which applies to you?**

Which applies to you?	No.	%
Resident	241	92
Both resident and business	3	1
Business	8	3
No Reply	9	4

Q3 asked how the parking proposals will affect the performance of your business?

12 people answered this:

- 1 said it will not affect my business
- 5 said it will restrict my business
- 6 said it will be very restrictive for my business

A further part of Q3 asked how the scheme would affect your business. 3 people made comments:

- 1 said they did not want to pay
- 1 (the Head of Lancing Prep School) thought that the scheme would not enable parents to park
- 1 said that no free parking for clients would affect his business

Q4 asked If the scheme were implemented which type(s) of permit(s) would you apply for?

Out of 261 respondents, 137 people said they would apply for permits (some more than one type), the general distribution is as below:

Types of permits	No.
Residents	69
Residents Visitor	70
Business	5
Carer	5

Q5 asked how many cars are in your household:

	No.	%
0	12	5
1	72	28
2	127	49
3	33	13
4 or more	13	5

257 respondents own at least 477 cars which gives an average of 1.86 cars per household.

Q6 Signage

Respondents were asked **If a scheme were to be implemented would you be prepared to allow the council to affix parking signage to your wall/ property?** 252 people answered this question:

227 (90%) of those who responded did not want signage moved to their wall or frontage of their property, whilst 25 (10%) were happy for this to take place.

Comments about proposed changes to the scheme

Respondents were given an opportunity to comment on proposed changes to the scheme in two places on the questionnaire. These comments have been amalgamated. 499 comments were made about the proposed changes.

These can be categorised as follows:

Comments	No.	%
Want single yellow line restrictions as in Hove Park area	78	16
There is no need for a scheme	61	12
Not happy about scheme operating hours	46	9
This is a revenue raising exercise	44	9
Don't want to pay for parking	42	8
This will reduce the long term parkers in the area	39	8
Problems with parking in the area are caused by workers at City Park (Legal and General)	39	8
Driving has become dangerous in the area due to dangerous parking	33	7
Worried about displacement	28	6
In favour because of current parking difficulties	25	5
General negative comments	21	4
Want a light touch scheme	18	4
Don't want double yellow lines across driveways	18	4
General positive comments	3	0
Don't want 11 hr P&D as this encourages workers to park	3	0
Not enough visitor permits	1	0
Total	499	100

Demography

Gender	No.	%
Male	141	54
Female	92	35
No reply	28	11
Total	261	100

Age	No.	%
18-24	1	0.5
25-34	11	4
35-44	43	16.5
45-54	56	21.5
55-64	46	17.5
65-74	34	13
75+	39	15
No reply	31	12

Total **261** **100**

Disability	No.	%
Yes	34	13
No	171	65.5
No reply	56	21.5
Total	261	100

Ethnicity	No.	%
White British	210	80
White Irish	5	2
Other white background	7	3
Indian	1	0.5
White and asian	1	0.5
Other mixed background	3	1
Chinese	2	1
No reply	32	12
Total	261	100

